

Liles vs. ^{vs.} North Case - On motion of the p[er]f by his attorney, David Royant as guardian ad litem to the infant, doct. to defend her in this suit -

Hester vs. ^{vs.} George Smith Case. Jury sworn to try the issue on the said bond John M Seammell, Allen Edwards, John M Gurley, Sher. Dreyant, Darden W Royant, Elias White, William D Clarke, William Judkins, James S Keasom, Josiah Maury, Williams A Bell, & James M Leonard who returned a verdict for the p[er]f. for \$100 damages with interest &c. Therefore judgment is granted the p[er]f. to the d[eb]t for the damages & interest aforesaid & costs. To be levied &c.

Simmons vs. ^{vs.} Simmons Case - Continued for the p[er]f. Williams
An account of Darden W Royant's guardianship of William J Royant was not
to be recorded

Simmons vs. ^{vs.} Simmons. Debt. Jury sworn to try the issue to wit John M Seammell, Allen Edwards, Darden W Royant, William Judkins, Josiah Maury, W A Bell, James M Leonard, Jesse Dreyant, Williams White, William Malloy, & Jos. A Scott who returned a verdict for the p[er]f. for \$40.05 with int. from 1st July 1839 till paid. Therefore judgment is granted the p[er]f. to the d[eb]t for the sum & interest aforesaid and costs. To be levied &c.

Williams vs. ^{vs.} Hines Est. Debt. - Continued -

Subell vs. ^{vs.} Simmons Case - The D[eb]t. pleaded fully administered & title of defendant to the p[er]f. demand - in addition to his other pleas - and upon word given and a jury to wit, John M Seammell, Allen Edwards, Darden W Royant, W Judkins, Josiah Maury, John D White, W A Bell, Jesse Dreyant, M White, Jos. Scott, John D Tanner, Ed. S. Beckwith, who returned a verdict for the p[er]f. for \$149 75 Cents with int. from 1st March 1837. they also find that the D[eb]t. hath fully administered all the assets of his estate that have come to his hands to be administered - Therefore judgment is granted the p[er]f. to the d[eb]t for the damages & int. aforesaid & costs. To be levied when assets sufficient shall come to the d[eb]t. hands to be administered.

Towell vs. ^{vs.} Innes Case - Continued -

Banks vs. ^{vs.} Stephenson. Debt. A jury was sworn to try the issue joined on this case to wit John M Seammell, Allen Edwards, John D Barker, Darden W Royant, William Judkins, Josiah Maury, Williams A Bell, Jesse Dreyant, William White, Joseph A Scott, William D Clarke & Benjamin Simmons who returned a verdict for the p[er]f. for the debt & int. in the declaration mentioned with int. from the 1st January 1828 till paid. Therefore judgment is granted the p[er]f. to the d[eb]t for the debt & interest aforesaid & costs.

Daughters vs. ^{vs.} Godwin. Debt. Plea withdrawn & judgment for the p[er]f. the debt & int. the declaration mentioned & costs.

James vs. ^{vs.} Banker. Debt. Plea withdrawn & judgment for the p[er]f. for the debt & int.

Thomas vs. ^{vs.} Daughters Case. The D[eb]t. withdrew all his pleas except the plea of Nullity Record - and thereupon the Record &c. in the d[eb]t. for mentioned being seen & inspected it seems to the Court here that there is such judgment as in the d[eb]t. for mentioned. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the p[er]f. recover against